

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANDISK CORPORATION,

No. C 98-0115 CRB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

LEXAR MEDIA, INC.,

Defendant.

Now before the Court is defendant's expedited motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's March 28, 2000 Order granting plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment. After carefully considering defendant's motion and plaintiff's opposition, defendant's expedited motion is DENIED.

First, defendant's assertion that a motion for reconsideration is proper because of a "manifest failure by the court to consider material facts which were presented," see Civil Local Rule 7-9(b)(3), is mistaken. The Court specifically considered the fact that defendant's device lacks a pre-existing correspondence. The Court concluded that this undisputed fact did not defeat summary judgment since claim 10 does not require a pre-existing correspondence.

Second, interpreting "corresponds" so as not to require a "pre-existing" or "permanent" correspondence does not render the term meaningless. The phrase

TOPIC MALLE TO TATILE OF RECORD

"corresponds with said at least one magnetic disk sector" clarifies the phrase "an address of at least one non-volatile memory sector." There is nothing in the use of the word "corresponds" or the language of this element of claim 10 that requires the Court to impose a limitation of a "pre-existing" correspondence.

Finally, and in order to make the record clear, the Court did not make any "factual findings" in ruling on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. The Court made conclusions as to which facts were undisputed by the parties and whether, based on those undisputed facts, plaintiff had met its burden and was entitled to summary judgment.

Accordingly, defendant's expedited motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 222000

CHARLES R. BREYERY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Consideration of each of the newly cited documents and the making of them of record in the file of the present application are respectfully requested.

Dated: January <u>21</u>, 2000

Respectfully submitted,

Gerald P. Parsons, Reg. No. 24,486

MAJESTIC, PARSONS, SIEBERT & HSUE P.C.

Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1100 San Francisco, California 94111-4106

Telephone: (415) 248-5500 Facsimile: (415) 362-5418

Atty. Docket: HARI.006USF